

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,569	04/08/2004	Essam T. Awdalla	1394-003	8579
4678	7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
MACCORD MASON PLLC			PENG, BO	
300 N. GREEI	NE STREET, SUITE 1600		·	
P. O. BOX 2974			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENSBORO, NC 27402			1648	
		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,569	AWDALLA, ESSAM T.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bo Peng	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication, D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/8/0 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.	V	
_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/820,569 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-7, drawn to a 1<sup>st</sup> method for inducing a cytotoxic cell-mediated immune response in a mammal, classified in class 424, subclass 139.1.
- II. Claim 8-14, drawn to a 2<sup>nd</sup> method for inducing a cytotoxic cell-mediated immune response in a mammal, classified in class 424, subclass 139.1
- III. Claims 15-21, drawn to a 3<sup>rd</sup> method for inducing a cytotoxic cell-mediated immune response in a mammal, classified in class 424, subclass 139.1.
- VI. Claims 22-28, drawn to a 4<sup>th</sup> method for inducing a cytotoxic cell-mediated immune response in a mammal, classified in class 424, subclass 139.1.
- Claim 29, drawn to a 1<sup>st</sup> compound protein molecule, classified in class 424, subclass 178.1.
- VI. Claim 30, drawn to a 2<sup>nd</sup> compound protein molecule, classified in class 424, subclass 178.1.
- VII. Claim 31, drawn to a 3<sup>rd</sup> compound protein molecule, classified in class 424, subclass 178.1.
- VIII. Claim 32, drawn to a 4<sup>th</sup> compound protein molecule, classified in class 424, subclass 178.1.
- 2. Groups I-IV are different methods. Methods of Group I-IV for inducing a cytotoxic cell-mediated immune response in a mammal differ from each other with respect to the compound

Application/Control Number: 10/820,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

proteins used, method steps, and endpoints. Therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

- 3. Groups V-VIII are different products. Groups VI-VIII are directed to patentably distinct compound protein molecules, wherein each has a different structure and biological property, and wherein each is capable of separate manufacture and use.
- 4. Groups V-VIII and I-IV are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method for inducing cytotoxic cell-mediated immune response in a mammal can be practiced by using nature occurred pathogens.
- 5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Since it is an undue burden for the Office to search more than one invention, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn

Application/Control Number: 10/820,569

Art Unit: 1648

process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 4

- 7. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/820,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bo Peng, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5542. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

April 20, 2006